Case MDL No. 2738 Document 2015 Filed 10/08/19 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2738

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -171)

On October 4, 2016, the Panel transferred 10 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 220 F.Supp.3d 1356 (J.P.M.L. 2016). Since that time, 1,479 additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of New Jersey. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Freda L. Wolfson.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of New Jersey and assigned to Judge Wolfson.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of New Jersey for the reasons stated in the order of October 4, 2016, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Freda L. Wolfson.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Oct 08, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

WILLIAM IT. WALSE

Deputy Clerk

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2738

SCHEDULE CTO-171 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION		
MASSACH	USETTS				
MA	3	19-30129	Colangelo et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al		
NEW YORK EASTERN					
NYE	1	19-05025	DeTonno v. Johnson & Johnson et al		
NYE	1	19-05141	Araujo et. al. v. Johnson & Johnson et. al.		
NYE	1	19-05147	Pipolo v. Johnson & Johnson et. al.		
NYE	1	19-05149	Kelly et. al. v. Johnson & Johnson et. al.		
NYE	1	19-05151	Orlando et. al. v. Johnson & Johnson et. al.		
NYE	1	19-05256	Cooks v. Johnson & Johnson et. al.		
NYE	2	19-05155	Graham et. al. v. Johnson & Johnson et. al.		
NYE	2	19-05159	Webb-Barber et. al. v. Johnson & Johnson et. al.		
NYE	2	19-05187	Ferrari et. al. v. Johnson & Johnson et. al.		
NYE	2	19-05194	Simpson v. Johnson & Johnson et al		
NEW YOR	K NORTHI	ERN			
NYN	3	19-01099	McCarty v. Johnson & Johnson et al		
NYN	5	19-01090	Ingrahm v. Johnson & Johnson et al		
NYN	5	19-01097	Howell v. Johnson & Johnson et al		
NEW YORK SOUTHERN					
NYS	1	19-08219	Polite v. Johnson & Johnson et al		
NYS	1	19-08311	Williams v. Johnson & Johnson et al		
NYS	1	19-08318	Rogers v. Johnson & Johnson et al		
NYS	1	19-08510	Rivera v. Johnson & Johnson et al		
NYS	1	19-08643	Ramos v. Johnson & Johnson et al		
NEW YORK WESTERN					
NYW	1	19-01302	Signorelli v. Johnson & Johnson et al		

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10717 Filed 10/08/19 Page 3 of 3 PageID: 101135 Case MDL No. 2738 Document 2015 Filed 10/08/19 Page 3 of 3

NYW	1	19-01303	Williams et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
NYW	1	19-01308	Freeberg v. Johnson & Johnson et al
NYW	1	19-01320	Buzzelli et al v. Johnson & Johnson et al
NYW	6	19-06677	Washington v. Johnson & Johnson et al
NYW	6	19-06707	Margaret Detwiler, Individually, and as Representative of the Estate of Margaret O'Brien (Deceased) v. Johnson & Johnson et. al.,
NYW	6	19-06711	Larry J. Woodward v. Johnson & Johnson et al